

# The Global Access to Health Platform



Dr Didier Wernli  
Division of  
International and  
Humanitarian  
Medicine  
Geneva University  
Hospitals

# What is the GAHP and why did we launched it?

- A collaborative tool allowing to easily share information between health professionals
- Objective: Link the editions of the Geneva Health Forum every two years
- Organization of discussions around important theme of the forum → shape the program of the GHF.
- → A way to continue activities between forums

# About the tool and its possibilities

- Development financed by WHO and ONUSIDA
- Used in the field of global health and development (IBP, D- Groups)
- Integrated to email, light and simple interface which is adapted to low bandwidth. A tool that can be used everywhere in the world
- Duplication question: setup a community in an existing structure or launch a new platform?




# Different ways to use the platform

	E-discussion	Consultation	Community of practice	Working group
Duration	Limited time	Unlimited in time	Unlimited in time	Limited in time
Definition	Discussion organized around a pre-defined topic	Comments and critique invited on prepared material.	A community or group with a common interest that share informally	Group of people working together temporarily until some goal is achieved
Access	Open/restricted	Open/restricted access	Typically open	Restricted
Hierarchy	contributors interact with one another and the moderators.	Contributors share their opinion which are taken into account by the initiators	contributors interact with one another and the moderators.	Contributors interact with one another (and the moderators)



# Discussion on Antimicrobial resistance

- In line with a parallel session at the GHF, we organized an online discussion on “Should the development of new antibiotics be a public health priorities”
- Results: 142 members from 39 countries and 48 posts mainly from people with a lot of experience in the field of antibiotics resistance
- We performed a short survey to measure the level of satisfaction with the discussion


**1.** Level of satisfaction with the content quality:

Not satisfied		0	0%
Somewhat satisfied		3	30%
Satisfied		6	60%
Very satisfied		1	10%
Total		10	100%





**2.** Level of satisfaction with the duration (3 weeks) of the discussion:

Too long		0	0%
Long		0	0%
Satisfactory		8	80%
Too short		2	20%
Total		10	100%

**3.** Usefulness of the background documents:

Not useful at all		0	0%
Not very useful		0	0%
Useful		10	100%
Very useful		0	0%
Total		10	100%

**4.** Overall, to what extent do you feel the discussion met its goal of generating meaningful and relevant information with regards to the global issue of antimicrobial resistance?

Did not meet the goal		1	10%
Somewhat met the goal		4	40%
Met the goal		2	20%
Definitely met the goal		3	30%
Total		10	100%

# Lessons learnt

- Major obstacles:
  - more time and resource consuming than previously thought
  - Discussion theme must be prepared well in collaboration with “guest speaker”.
  - Because of the design the tool is not always seen as efficient above all in our constituency
- The tool offers an adapted way to share experience and discuss issues that are more and more transnational

# Future orientations

- Organize further discussions on issues that are important in Global Health
- Strengthen the link with the GHF
- Improve the organization of the discussion
- Develop new and strengthen existing partnership with other institution
- Develop the use of the platform within our constituency